

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/961,066	RAFFERTY ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Thach H Bui	3752

	Thach H Bui	3752		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Thach H Bui</u> .	(3)			
(2) I an R. Velled	(4)			
Date of Interview: 10 November 2003.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)X:No.			
Claim(s) discussed: 1,4,16, 17, 18				
Identification of prior art discussed: Duenzi et al.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT O Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse signal.	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS F THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	been filed, APP S INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS SUMMARY	
- Discussed pour ant Querzi	et al. Discussed	· Jew	sperife	
details regarding the clair	ned much to -	hat un	ght	
overcome pron art.				
		\	/	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required